

Stratigraphy: *Establishing a Sequence from Excavated Archaeological Evidence*

THE PRINCIPLES OF STRATIGRAPHY

In order to explain how and why cultural and social forms change through time, archaeologists first have to establish the sequence in which they occurred – that is, which forms were earlier and which ones were later. They can often accomplish this by excavation an archaeological site. Archaeological sites are places where there are traces of the activities that someone carried out in the past. Fortunately, some archaeological sites (though certainly not all of them) were formed as a result of multiple occupations – one on top of the other – over an extended period of time. Such sites often refract or alter the complex interplay of the deposition of the traces of human activities, the alteration the these traces through time, and natural processes such as deposition, erosion, or decay (Schiffer 1987; Sharer and Ashmore 2003:234-38). Another way of viewing this archaeological site is that it is a sequence of depositional units that are distinguished from one another by their contents or appearance.

The layering of deposits observed in the archaeological site is called *stratification*. The individual layers, or *strata*, may be thick or thin and result from cultural activities, natural processes, or some combination of both. There are two principles of stratigraphy. The first is called the *law of superposition*, which states that the depositional unit found at the bottom of an undisturbed pile of strata is older than the ones above it. In archaeology, these depositional units would include individual layers, of strata, of habitation refuse, buildings, graves, and pits. The second principle of stratigraphy can be called the *law of strata identified by their contents*, which says that the depositional units at any particular site can be distinguished from one another by differences in the various object and associations they contain and by differences in the frequencies with which the various cultural materials occur (Rowe 1961: 324).

The first stratigraphic principle allows us to determine the sequence in which cultural assemblages occur in any given locality; the second principle allows us to determine what occurred in the sequence and provides us with a way of correlating or establishing the contemporaneity of cultural assemblages from different localities. These principles were formulated by geologists during the 18th century and were borrowed by archaeologists after they were already established and being used in the earth sciences (Harris 1975. 1979).¹

To establish a sequence from excavated archaeological evidence, it is essential to apply both stratigraphic principles at the same time. Noticing that superposition occurs among the depositional units at a particular site has little archaeological significance unless the contrasts in their cultural contents are also observed. If no differences between the cultural contents of two successive depositional units can be observed then the archaeologists must treat the contents of the units as if they were contemporary with one another, even though there is evidence that one unit is, in fact, later than another. The

¹ The principles of stratigraphy play exceedingly important roles in archaeological interpretation. Johann Gottlob Lehmann clearly stated the law of superposition, including its temporal implications, in 1756; the principle was apparently applied first in archaeology by Thomas Jefferson in his *Notes on the State of Virginia*, the second edition of which was published in 1787. The law of content dissimilarity, or strata identified by their contents, was worked out by William Smith in 1796. Its earliest application in archaeology seems to have been in the review of a book dealing with the Swiss Lake Dwellers that was written by Georg Chrstian Friedrich Lisch and published in 1847 (Rowe 1961: 324).

law of superposition provides information only about the sequence of deposition at a particular locality. Differences in the contents of the various depositional units make it possible to interpret their sequence as a sequence of cultural assemblages (Rowe 1961: 324).

There are no exceptions to the law of superposition; it has universal application. However, four situations can affect the order of deposition at a given site so that it might not reflect the real archaeological sequence of the locality. First, *mixing* occurs when a digging operation turns dirt over and leaves it in place, so that the contents of two or more depositional units occur in the deposit created by the digging. Second, *filling* occurs when a depositional unit is laid down to alter the original level of the ground; this kind of depositional unit may contain old materials. Third, *collection* involves the acquisition and reuse of ancient objects, such as jewelry, pottery vessels, grinding stones, or tools. Fourth, the occurrence of *unconformities*, or temporal breaks, in the stratigraphic sequence of an excavation or site results from a change that caused deposition to cease for an indeterminate time span in that particular locality (Dunbar & Rodgers 1957: 116-127; Rowe 1961: 324-326).

REFERENCES

- ◆ Dunbar, Carl O. & John Rodgers. (1957). *Principles of stratigraphy*. New York: Wiley.
- ◆ Harris, Edward C. (1975). The stratigraphic sequence: A question of time. *World Archaeology*, 7(1), 109-121.
- ◆ Harris, Edward C. (1979). The law of archaeological stratigraphy. *World Archaeology*, 11(1), 111-117.
- ◆ Rowe, John H. (1961). Stratigraphy and seriation. *American Antiquity* 26(1), 324-330.

THE DATA AND THE PROBLEM

One way in which archaeologists present visual records of the evidence they uncover is to draw raw profiles of the series of strata that were laid down through time and were exposed during the course of their excavations. Ideally, the profile of each wall, or face, should be illustrated; however, for various reasons, this is rarely the case. Such a profile – the north wall of an excavation – is illustrated in Figure 2-1, and each of the exposed strata is identified with a letter. It is useful to read these profiles from the bottom up. The archaeologist's uncertainty at the time of the excavation about the correct chronological sequence of events around the wall in the middle of the profile is indicated by the designation of strata on one side of the wall with one set of letters (e.g. Stratum I); and those on the other side of the wall with a second set (e.g., Stratum I'). Such designations do not indicate that the strata on the two sides of the wall are contemporary with each other, but rather that they were encountered at roughly the same time during the excavation of this particular block of earth.

The contents of each excavation unit are described separately to ensure that the archaeological associations occurring in each are presented. The descriptions of the contents of each excavation unit, or stratum, that follow are admittedly inadequate because they contain information only about pottery styles, stone tools, and burial types. The reason for the emphasis in the descriptions is purely for the purpose of the questions to be examined in this section. The reason for this focus on pottery and stone tools is that, when they occur at archaeological sites, they are usually among the most abundant kinds of evidence found; consequently, changes in pottery styles and stone tool types are used to define cultural sequences and to correlate materials found in one area with those that have been discovered in another.

The following descriptions give information about the chronologically significant features that occur in each of the excavated strata.

Stratum A – Red-painted pottery: bottles with red-painted surfaces; shaft tomb with child burial in a vessel with red-painted interior and exterior surfaces.

Stratum B – Pottery with circumferential red-painted stripes; bottles with shoulder angles and tapering spouts found throughout the habitation refuse composing the stratum; pit with extended burial dug from the middle of the stratum; burial is associated with one bowl with circumferential red-painted bands and one bowl with punctate and applique decoration.

Stratum C – Fiber-tempered pottery with circumferential red-painted stripes; straight-sided bottle spouts; bottles with undecorated triangular-shaped stirrups.

Stratum D – Sand-tempered pottery with circumferential red-painted stripes; straight-sided bottle spouts; bottles with undecorated triangular-shaped stirrups.

Stratum E – Culturally sterile layer composed of wind-blown sand.

Stratum F – Red-painted pottery; bottles with circumferential red-painted bands on concave-curved spouts.

Stratum G – Pottery with red-painted bands; bottles with rounded bottoms; concave-curved bottle spouts with beveled rims.

Stratum H – (left of wall). Pottery with red-painted bands; bottles with rounded bottoms; concave-curved bottle spouts with beveled rims.

Stratum H' – (right of wall). Pottery with red-painted bands concave-curved bottle spouts with beveled rims; bottles with flat bottoms.

Stratum I – (left of wall). Horizontal cement layer without cultural remains.

Stratum I' – (right of wall). Pottery with vertical bands of zoned punctate decoration; pottery with punctate decoration; flanged bottle rims; beveled bottle rims.

Stratum J – (left of wall). Green-red-and-blue-painted pottery.

Stratum J' – (right of wall). Pottery with vertical bands of zoned punctate decoration; beveled bottle rims.

Stratum K – (left of wall). Horizontal cement layer without cultural remains.

Stratum K' – (right of wall). Pottery with punctate decoration.

Stratum L – (left of wall). Green-red-and-blue-painted pottery.

Stratum M – (left of wall). Horizontal cement layer without cultural remains.

Stratum N – (left of wall). Pottery with red-painted bands; concave-curved bottle spouts with beveled rims.

Stratum O – (left of wall). Horizontal cement layer without cultural remains.

Stratum P – Pottery with punctate decoration: conical bottle spout with flanged rims; pit with flexed burial dug from the lower part of the layer.

Stratum Q – Pottery with punctate and appliqué decoration fragments of conical bottle spouts with flanged rims, single-warp woven cotton textiles.

Stratum R – Undecorated pottery, paired-warp woven cotton textiles, triangular-shaped obsidian spearheads.


Stratum S – Undecorated pottery, paired-warp twined cotton textiles, and triangular-shaped obsidian spearheads.

Stratum T – Paired-warp twined cotton textiles, single-piece shell fishhooks, triangular-shaped obsidian projectile points, grinding stones.

Stratum U – Leaf-shaped Canario projectile points, percussion-flaked choppers, grinding stones.

QUESTIONS TO ANSWER:

- ◆ Using both principles of stratigraphy, as well as the assumption that stylistic change is gradual, what is the most detailed archaeological sequence that can be established from the evidence?
- ◆ Is there any evidence for the occurrence of mixing, filling, collecting, or unconformities? If so, what is the evidence. and where does it occur in the excavation?
- ◆ What was the relationship of the wall with respect to the ground level at the time it was built? (what as “ground level” when the wall was built?)
- ◆ What are the relative ages of the depositional units on the right side of the wall compared with those on the left side?
- ◆ Which strata bracket is the construction of the wall?
- ◆ What is the sequence of burial types encountered in the excavation? Explain and defend.



NORTH WALL

